St. Xavier's Catholic College of Engineering

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

2020-2021

Students' Feedback Report

I. Question-wise Feedback Analysis

No.	Question	Total Subjects	0 - 49%	50 - 59%	60 - 69%	70 - 79%	80 - 89%	90 - 100%
1	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	140	0	5	23	45	34	33
2	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	140	0	2	3	35	69	31
3	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	140	0	0	4	42	71	23
4	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	140	0	3	6	64	49	18
5	Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teacher	140	0	1	3	49	60	27
6	The teacher designs quizzes/tests/assignments/mini-projects to evaluate students understanding of the course and effectively prepare the students for the end-semester examination	140	0	4	1	53	57	25
7	Teacher informs the students about the expected competencies, course outcomes, program outcomes, program specific outcomes and program educational objectives	140	0	2	2	55	60	21
8	The teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications	140	0	2	4	43	70	21
9	The teacher identifies the students? strengths and encourages by providing right level of challenges	140	0	1	4	54	58	23
10	Teacher is able to identify students? weaknesses and helps to overcome them	140	0	2	4	59	55	20
11	Teacher encourages the students to participate in extracurricular activities	140	0	2	6	63	51	18
12	Efforts are made by the teacher to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make the students ready for the world of work	140	0	1	1	54	65	19
13	Teacher's usage of ICT tools such as LCD projector, multimedia, etc. while teaching	140	2	3	13	48	46	28
14	The teacher discusses and collects feedback from the students on the university syllabus	140	0	2	4	59	58	17
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

IV. Feedback on Departments

Humanities and Sciences

Overall: (3*0+11*25+34*50+31*75+83*100)/162 = 77.9%

Q1) My rating of t	the activities of the	department associ	iation (82%)	341
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	1/27 (3.8%)	4/27 (14.9%)	8/27 (29.7%)	14/27 (51.0%)
Q2) My rating of t	he efforts of the de	epartment in giving	g proper informati	on to students (80%
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	1/27 (3.8%)	6/27 (22.3%)	6/27 (22.3%)	14/27 (51.0%)
Q3) My rating of t	he overall teaching	g learning methods	followed in the de	partment (78%)
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	2/27 (7.5%)	6/27 (22.3%)	5/27 (18.6%)	14/27 (51.0%)
Q4) My rating of t	he effectiveness of	the industrial visit	or tour (69%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
3/27 (11.2%)	1/27 (3.8%)	7/27 (25.0%)	4/27 (14.9%)	12/27 (44.5%)
Q5) My rating of t	he usefulness of th	e value added prog	grams (77%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	3/27 (11.2%)	5/27 (18.6%)	5/27 (18.6%)	14/27 (51.0%)
Q6) My rating of t	he effectiveness of	the compulsory Er	nglish communicat	ion (77%)
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	3/27 (11.2%)	6/27 (22.3%)	3/27 (11.2%)	15/27 (55.7%)

V. Feedback on Placement

Overall: (1*0+14*25+35*50+63*75+69*100)/182 = 75.5%

Q1) My rating of t	he placement train	ing programs orga	nized by the Placen	nent Cell (75%)
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/26 (0.0%)	1/26 (3.9%)	6/26 (23.1%)	10/26 (38.6%)	9/26 (34.7%)
Q2) My rating of t	he on-campus plac	ement programs o	rganized by the Plac	cement Cell (72%)
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/26 (0.0%)	3/26 (11.6%)	5/26 (19.3%)	10/26 (38.6%)	8/26 (30.9%)
Q3) My rating of t	he off-campus plac	cement programs c	oordinated by the P	lacement Cell (76%)
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/26 (0.0%)	2/26 (7.8%)	5/26 (19.3%)	8/26 (30.9%)	11/26 (42.4%)

Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/26 (0.0%)	2/26 (7.8%)	6/26 (23.1%)	8/26 (30.9%)	10/26 (38.6%)
Q5) My rating of t	he overall function	ning of the Placeme	nt Cell (77%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/26 (0.0%)	2/26 (7.8%)	4/26 (15.5%)	9/26 (34.7%)	11/26 (42.4%)
O6) My rating of t	he entrenreneursh	The contract of the contract o		
Entrepreneurship	Development Cell	ip awareness and t	raining programs o	organized by the
Q6) My rating of t Entrepreneurship Below Average 0/26 (0.0%)	Average	ip awareness and t (75%)	raining programs of Very Good	Excellent
Below Average 0/26 (0.0%)	Average 2/26 (7.8%)	Good 5/26 (19.3%)	raining programs o	Excellent 10/26 (38.6%)
Below Average 0/26 (0.0%)	Average 2/26 (7.8%)	Good 5/26 (19.3%)	Very Good 9/26 (34.7%)	Excellent 10/26 (38.6%)

VI. Feedback on Library

Q1) How do you f	Overall : (23*0+26* eel about library infi			70
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
3/31 (9.8%)	2/31 (6.6%)	9/31 (29.05%)	5/31 (16.2%)	12/31 (38.8%)
Q2) Rate the seati	ng arrangements ins	ide the library? (66	5%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
4/31 (12.0%)	2/31 (6.6%)	8/31 (25.9%)	3/31 (9.8%)	14/31 (45.3%)
Q3) Are you satisf	ied with library bool	k collection? (66%)		
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
3/31 (9.8%)	4/31 (12.0%)	7/31 (22.7%)	4/31 (12.0%)	13/31 (41.0%)
Q4) Are you satisf	ied with collection fo	or research in the li	brary? (64%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
3/31 (9.8%)	4/31 (12.0%)	8/31 (25.9%)	4/31 (12.0%)	12/31 (38.8%)
Q5) Rate the librar	ry services? (63%)			
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
4/31 (12.0%)	4/31 (12.0%)	6/31 (19.5%)	5/31 (16.2%)	12/31 (38.8%)
Q6) How comforta	ble are you with the	library staff assista	ance? (63%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent

3/31 (9.8%)	5/31 (16.2%)	7/31 (22.7%)	4/31 (12.0%)	12/31 (38.8%)
Q7) How do you fe	eel about working t	ime of the library?	(64%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
3/31 (9.8%)	5/31 (16.2%)	6/31 (19.5%)	5/31 (16.2%)	12/31 (38.8%)

VII. Feedback on InfrastructureOverall: (1*0+11*25+25*50+19*75+52*100)/108 = **75.6**%

Q1) How do you ra	ate the computer fac	cility in the college	(77%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	1/27 (3.8%)	7/27 (25.0%)	7/27 (25.0%)	12/27 (44.5%)
Q2) How do you ra	ate the Internet faci	lity in the college (7	75%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	2/27 (7.5%)	8/27 (29.7%)	5/27 (18.6%)	12/27 (44.5%)
Q3) How do you ra	ate the sports facilit	y in the college (74°	%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1/27 (3.8%)	4/27 (14.9%)	4/27 (14.9%)	4/27 (14.9%)	14/27 (51.0%)
Q4) Your overall r	ating of the Infrasti	ructure (75%)		
Below Average	Average ·	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/27 (0.0%)	4/27 (14.9%)	6/27 (22.3%)	3/27 (11.2%)	14/27 (51.0%)

VIII. Feedback on ManagementOverall: (4*0+7*25+41*50+15*75+63*100)/130 = 74.3%

Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0/26 (0.0%)	1/26 (3.9%)	8/26 (30.9%)	4/26 (15.5%)	13/26 (50,0%)
Q2) My rating of the	he maintenance of	discipline in the coll	ege (72%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1/26 (3.9%)	2/26 (7.8%)	9/26 (34.7%)	1/26 (3.9%)	13/26 (50.0%)
Q3) My rating of the	he performance of	the Principal of the	college (76%)	
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1/26 (3.9%)	0/26 (0.0%)	8/26 (30.9%)	4/26 (15.5%)	13/26 (50:0%)

Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1/26 (3.9%)	1/26 (3.9%)	9/26 (34.7%)	3/26 (11.6%)	12/26 (46.3%)
Q5) Are you satisf	ied with the Manage	ement of the college	(71%)	.) /-
Below Average	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1/26 (3.9%)	3/26 (11.6%)	7/26 (26.0%)	3/26 (11.6%)	12/26 (46.3%)

IX. Feedback on Office

Overall: (2*0+1*25+16*50+38*75+47*100)/104 = 80.6%

Q1) Are you sa	atisfied with the	Functioning of the	Office? (79%)	
Not at all	Very Little	Somewhat	Satisfied	Extremely Satisfied
1/26 (3.9%)	0/26 (0.0%)	3/26 (11.6%)	11/26 (42.4%)	11/26 (42.4%)
Q2) Is the info	rmation sought s	supplied quickly?	(80%)	
Not at all	Rarely	Sometimes	Most of the Times	Always
0/26 (0.0%)	0/26 (0.0%)	6/26 (23.1%)	8/26 (30.9%)	12/26 (46.3%)
Q3) Does the S	Staff deal with yo	u warmly and cou	irteously? (80%)	
Not at all	Rarely	Sometimes	Most of the Times	Always
0/26 (0.0%)	1/26 (3.9%)	3/26 (11.6%)	11/26 (42.4%)	11/26 (42.4%)
Q4) Are the m	embers of the of	fice staff helpful?	(80%)	
Not at all	Rarely	Sometimes	Most of the Times	Always
1/26 (3.9%)	0/26 (0.0%)	4/26 (15.5%)	8/26 (30.9%)	13/26 (50.0%)
Q5) Suggestion	ns for improvem	ent (0%)		

Discussion and Decision:

- A few numbers of students have entered their feedback in the college automation software because of lack of time due to second lockdown.
- It is decided to raise the minimum expected feedback score for faculty members from 50 to 60.

Coordinator-IQAC

Dr. A. MILTON, B.E., M.Tech., Ph.D. Co-ordinator of IQAC St. Xavier's Catholic College of Engineering Chunkankadai, Nagercoil - 629 003. Principal

Dr. J. MAHESWARAN, M.E. Ph.D. FIE. MISTE.
PRINCIPAL
SLXAVIER'S CATHOLIC COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
CHUNKANKADAI
NAGERCOIL - 629 003